31

à.

Abalkin Answers Questions on Economic Conference LD1711235989

[Editorial Report] Moscow Television Service in Russian at 1903 GMT on 17 November carries a 37-minute recording of a news conference held on 15 November with Leonid Abaltin, deputy chairman of the USSR. Cosnell of Ministers, on the conclusion of the scientific and practical conference held in Moscow to discuss Soviet economic reform. Video above Abaltin on return. An unidentified chairman opens the news conference.

"Esteemed ladies and gentlemen, we have invited you to a meeting in connection with the conclusion of the all-union scientific and practical conference on radical economic reform. This conference opened on Monday and ended today in the Hall of Columns of the House of the Union." "Today Academician Leonid Ivanovich Abalkin, deputy chairman of the USSR Council of Ministers, will share his impressions on the conference with you."

Urgent measures, Abalkin says, must be taken to implement economic reform, and these measures must be drawn up before the Congress. Taking part in the conference were experts in all fields, to discuss as openly as possible, with all possible opinions represented, a autiouwide program to take into account of all shades of opinion. The program must be realistic, comprehensive, and bold. The conference yielded a great deal of material over the 3 days it was held.

The first question is from a TASS correspondent who asks about the basis for the theory of the transitional period.

Abalkin says one main issue is how to move from a nonmarket conomy to a market conomy, how to create the infrastructure. The program is based upon a critical evaluation of past experience, both in the USSR and abroad; a scientific and theoretical analysis was also made. All this weat into the proposals advanced.

A BTA correspondent asks about consensus on the issues discussed and on approaches to republican financial autonomy.

Abelkin says consensus is impossible in such cases: Full agreement would mean stagnation in thinking. All aspects must be weighed and conclusions reached. Agreement on the basic fundamentals was, however, attained: Without reform, we have an impasse. Consensus in the sense of an understanding of the responsibility of the decisions to be made was thus reached. Financial autonomy must be introduced in the republics from 1990, which leaves very little time. Huge price changes are impossible, but we are compelled to take interim measures to compensate until full measures are implemented. The source of republicism busiques have

deen precisely defined, and further measure amondments can be made in 1991 when other me smove to financial autonomy.

FIES-SOV

The FINANCIAL TIMES correspondent, speaking english with superimposed Russian translation, sale spection from the FINANCIAL TIMES. Could you saw what specific changes must be made in your sense yourgam as a result of the conference? It seemed to shart the reaction to your program was pretty sale in the processing the poly on agree with that successment?

Abalkin responds: "The conference yielded no mental changes, no rejections of any directions or est of reform. Conservative monods, however, turned out be stronger than I had assumed before the conferent started. This perhaps reflects the general changes in social situation in the country, which is today substitutely different from the situation in the summer, assumer of this year. This must be taken into account this complex development of years. One cannot simply brush it aside, one must be ready for it.

"I cannot as yet fully evaluate what was done in a sections—work went on in eight sections, four rounds tables, and over 200 people spoke—all this must be looked at attentively, some interesting ideas, and angulfications may evidently be found there. And only these will it be possible to evaluate fully the quality of the discussions."

A Portuguese correspondent asks whether public opinion might oppose the changes needed to emerge from impasse. The correspondent also asks what will be the role of the congress.

Abalkin responds that public opinion is vital; attempts have been made to play with public opinion and manipulate it. Public opinion must be mobilized—it is awaiting constructive measures and decisions. The congress will certainly be very turbulent and contradicory. We must learn to inten and heed one another, we must overcome social "deafness."

An Italian correspondent asks in English about opposition in society to private ownership.

Abalkin says the law on comership, like the law on taxation must be submitted for nationwide discussion. As an economist, Abalkin says that it is very common for terms to be misunderstand, terminology is a source of misunderstanding and therefore of tension. Term such as private ownership and cooperatives have been interpreted in different ways, giving rise to unnecessary potentic. We must not try to put over new thinking in the old terminology of the 19th Century.

An EL PAIS correspondent, in Russian, asks: "The acwaysaper EL PAIS, Spain. I should like to lears about ruble convertibility—that is one point; then also about a system of rationing, and third, parallel money—chervonets."

Approved for Release Date \_\_\_\_AUG 1991 3

11

No. 1989

## NATIONAL AFFAIRS

43

replier. "I believe the opinion is general and such that there is a need for a Soviet rable to be burdle. The only difference is in the professional views of how to make the top the superplier of the superplier

"A green of rationing is, in my opinion, an unaccopticipa path. We asked the country's leading scademics and assomints for an analysis of this problem and of the smaller consomic and social consequences. We had study total unanimity from them in their assessment of the incrediblency and of the socioconomic unjustificiality of such a move. It does not feature in the program down active the things of the study of the program of ear actions. If we succeed in implementing the steps that have been mapped out in the course of this year and east year, then this issue will have been removed from discussion by the start of the 1990's. If this program is at implemental—if something prevents is from being seried through—and if by the end of 1990. by the start of the 1990's we are unable to stabilize the situation in the country, then a system of rationing will be inevitable, but that will be the end of the reform.

"The question of a parallel currency is a question that is open to discussion. It is open to discussion. There may plans and proposals on this score, but there has been no decision on this master. There are pros and cons. It is not color which outweight which, or by how much. We need some more time to form a definite opinion, but there is less than a mouth for this."

As APN correspondent asks about the difficulty of the second state of affairs and whether the population especied to unit.

Abakin says everyone is waiting for an instant miracle. In the past, he says, it is at times like this that reports of UFC's start circulating. We must convince publication, by means of the truth and nothing but the truth. The discontinuous are inevitable after huge illustration, by means of the truth and nothing but the truth. The ansfortunate must be made aware of the state's seaton for their well-being. We must not give way to seaton for their well-being. We must not give way to seaton. The individual must be made to feel his own suppossibility and the importance of this work. The

republics must be given their chance: In 1990 they must be zones of change; they must show that things are changing for the better.

An ABC correspondent, speaking in English with superimposed Russian translation, asks: "President Bush has said that he wants perestroyta to succeed. What steps would you like the United States to take in the economic sphere to help perestroyta succeed?"

Abalkin responds: "We must do most of the work currelves. I remember what Barsh said about Poland and Hungary. He was quite right, I think, when he said, as a wise and realistic politician, that the Poles and Hungarisns themselves must bring their country out of commic crisis, just like the Soviet people must do. We must do this ourselves. I am concerned most by what must be done in our country in these matters. As for what can be expected of the United States of America, which might be of assistance, I do not wish to develop this topic, because apart from the most general concepts with which you have all long been familiar—decide the issue of the most-favoured nation system, remove some other restrictions—I shall not be enriching our knowledge with anything."

A (HARLEMS DAGLAD) correspondent, speaking in Russian, asks: "We did not all manage to follow the debates conducted at the conference. Could you perhaps tell us more specifically which points gave rise to the most negative reaction from the conservatives, and how do you reckon to break this opposition."

Abalkin answers: "We have a great deal of historical experience on how to break the opposition. [Jaughter in hall] Therefore, the main task is how to learn to hold a dialogue with the opposition. The best way is to compel the conservatives to do battle with the radicals, while we got on calmy with the job at hand, [Jaughter] That would be the wisest solution, we have both radicals and conservatives. At the same time, we must take all the valuable and useful and sensible things offered by both of these, and include there in our programme and get on a long our own way. As for the aspects which came in for criticism, I would limit impelf to just two approaches. Emotions extrict over from meetings were present at the conference, and there were also scientific discussions.

"Everything starts at the very beginning: Should state property be left unalitered, or should we start to more received and start the process of do-estatization, given labor collectives and start deprocess of do-estatization, given labor collectives and start does the tendent of the collective start of administration by injunction. The start of administration by injunction and we move to a market with new lawoid we nation and we move to a market with new lawoid we as before keep prices unamplementally the start of the s

endent, was femaletien, as MESS: Could ye side in your as sto? H seemed as a was prouty as a year pourly as a year pourly as

s: One cannot allor it.

tast was done in the sections, four room as—all this most being ideas, and small there. And only the ly the quality of the

moral changes ch is today a

nemer public opinion and to emerge from take what will be the

ion is vital; attempt opinion and manube mobilized—it is decisions. The cont and contradictory me another, we must

inglish about oppo p.

nip, like the law or shortwide discussion, it is very common for sources. Tornes sedives have been interrise to unnecessary or new thinking in the cy.

Russian, asks: "The id like to lears about int; then also about a parallel money..." one to sharpen one's instruments of argument, compels at one to weigh up more attentively the possible consequences, which might be overlooked were there so such criticism. Altogether, in the final analysis, if there is no distructive action and no struggle, one can derive useful attentive action and no struggle, one can derive useful sites from this, if one regards taking account of diverse apoints of view as a factor making it possible to ensure a some resiliative program of action.

"Thank you for the interest you have shown in the work of our conference and in our commission's work. Thank

# Supreme Soviet Session

## Moscow TV Airs 20 Nov Supreme Soviet Proceedings

#### 1600 GMT

LD2014174289

[Editorial Report] Moscow Television Service in Russian at 1600 GMT, on 20 November begins carrying a recorded relay of the 20 November Supreme Soviet snorning scalon in the Kremlin.

The morning basion is chaired by Nishadov, who says there is a quorum for the joint session of the Soviet of the Union and Soviet of Nationalities add declares the session open. He battes that if the agenda is not completed this work, it is not excluded that the session may have to continue next week. A draft law on the press may find its way into the agenda if decumentation is provided in time. Today the general principles of managing the national economy of the republics will be discussed, further aspects may be included in accordance with notes submitted by deputies.

At 1604 GMT, an unidentified Baltic people's deputy asks about a debate on the Draft Law on Financial Autonomy of the Baltic Republics.

At 1606 GMT, an unidentified asks why various aspects of the Baltic republics autohomous economic management has not be included in the agenda.

At 1607 GMT, Deputy Prunskepe asks about the general principles of the draft on the republican economic

At 1608 GMT, Bichkauskas projests that one issue, namely republican autonomy, was excluded from the agends: Nighanov says this is not so and puts an end to procedural matters.

At 1610 GMT, People's Deputy Nikolskiy of the Commission on Glasnost asks about items on agenda: the Law on the Press is not on agenda.

At 1611 GMT, Obolenskiy asks about a decision of banning demonstrations.

At 1612 GMT, Deputy Vulfson says that on 18 November a demonstration was held in Riga with

500,000 people taking part, at which questions asked about why the issue of a confederation being discussed. The same issue is doubt sally discussed in the other Baltic republics. It was possess that the matter would be discussed in Cotober at USSE Supreme Soviet. The date for the second as a superior of the second as a superior of the second as the same to be at the second as the second as

At 1614 GMT, Belozertsov asks about the free pres the Press law.

the Priss Law.

At 1616 Chart, Lukyenov says that no motional cleanuses to brought into the Supreme Soviet debate, deputies have already said that there are attempted comments on the draft have come fan, the draft will be comments on the draft have come fan, the draft will be on the agenda. As for financial justonomy of the republict, this marker is included Jun the agenda is feet them. The session is planned to last only 5 days deputies have the right to prologing the session if they in order to include all these insteas. If the Law on the plan the issue of the Bultic republic is to be included as agenda, the deputies have of the Bultic republic is to be included as agenda, the deputies in not desired.

agenda, the deputies have dicide this later in the day agenda, the deputies have dicide this later in the day at 1620 GMT. Nishaalw culti for the business to start? original spaceda et in \(\)Quide to the business to start? original spaceda et in \(\)Quide to the provided for I small Deputies have in Font of them the draft law at General Principles of Melinagement of the Economy is lattic Republica. At this homent a deputy interrupt be report by trying to juthmy a petition to the charmal Abalkin takes the floor, and resumes the history of a draft law, which was not passed at its first reading. As variant of the law has been draft and the submitted for deputies' consideration. Abalkin stress is need for compyoints and a tational approach. He will deput the composition of the law has been included in the new variant. There must be regional splif-financing and financial autonomy in which the law have been included in the per variant. There are be develop she economy of the Upion as a whole. The lays stress so demarcating the competence of the reading and the union. The republics the passed which is the law that the companion of the commission was to lay down learner principles demitted and commission was to lay down learner principles demittention of one labor, segardless of when they come under a ministry or not.

#### 1635 GMT

LD2011175789

[Editorial Report] Moscow Television Service in Resian at 1635 GMT, ON 20 November continues recorded relay of the 20 November Supreme Sormaing session proceedings in the Kremlin.

At 1635 gmt, Abalkin states that with regard to tassiste the draft law, Article 6, enumerates the taxable reveal of the republics. The financial basis of local soviets and